The role of academic vocabulary in the school success of ELLs is well established (Nagy & Townsend, 2012). Simply stated, those who have sufficient vocabulary knowledge are better readers and writers than those who do not. By extension, those who read and write well perform better in school overall, and they are much better equipped to pass the gate-keeping tests of higher education (e.g., ACT, SAT, GRE, GMAT, LSAT, MCAT), thus improving their opportunities for economic success (Gardner, 2013).

The same is true for native-language learners.

These facts have fueled the extensive interest in vocabulary learning and teaching in academic settings. However, part of the problem with vocabulary training is that there are several million lexemes of English (words with different meanings), and many new words enter the language every year. Where does one begin to learn or teach from such an enormous pool of words?

With this in mind, my colleague and I analyzed a corpus of more than 120 million running words of academic English and found that a relatively small number of distinct words (3,015) were much more common among the nine major disciplines of our academic corpus than they were in general English (Gardner & Davies, 2014). We formalized these words into the Academic Vocabulary List (AVL). This new list is different in many ways from the Academic Word List (AWL; Coxhead, 2000), which has served language education well for more than 15 years. I highlight these differences in what follows.

1. The AWL was based on a corpus of 3.5 million words of academic English, mostly from New Zealand. The AVL was based on a corpus of 120 million words of academic English, primarily from the United States.

In addition to the approach described by Dee Gardner in this issue and the resources loaded on his website: http://www.academicvocabulary.info Here are a few more key resources for ESL specialists interested in improving their work in teaching vocabulary to ELLs.

1. Overview Article: Building English Language Learners Academic Vocabulary: Strategies and Tips, by Claire Sibold
   A good introduction to the topic that outlines some of the major approaches to vocabulary teaching to ELLs

2. Elfrieda Heibert’s TextProject Resources for Teachers
   www.textproject.org
   On this site, Elfrieda H. Heibert shares WordZones lists for word families worth teaching to ELLs. The 4 zones represent 4000 simple word families
2. Word counts in the AWL were based on word families (base word forms with their inflections and transparent derivatives), whereas the AVL counts were based on lemmas (base word forms distinguished by part of speech—nouns, verbs, adjective, adverbs—together with their simple inflections). The example in Table 1 illustrates the difference. The value of considering lemmas over word families is obvious in this example, as proceeds, the noun (n), pronounced with stress on the first syllable, and proceeds, the verb (v), pronounced with stress on the second syllable, would be erroneously considered as being the same word family, but they would be correctly treated as being different lemmas.

The noun proceedings (meaning records or minutes), the noun procedure (meaning technique), and the adjective procedural (meaning technical or routine) would be counted as their own lemmas instead of as part of the same word family. Also, knowing that a particular word is functioning as a noun or a verb or an adjective helps to constrain the possible meanings of that word. For example, it makes a big difference if we know that study is a noun (e.g., They will complete the study) instead of a verb (e.g., She will study for the exam).

Table 1. Example of word family versus lemmas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>One Word Family (AWL)</th>
<th>Four Lemmas (AVL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proceed</td>
<td>Proceed (v)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proceeds</td>
<td>Proceeds (v)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedural</td>
<td>Proceeding (v)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedure</td>
<td>Proceeded (v)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedures</td>
<td>Proceeds (n)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proceeded</td>
<td>Proceedings (n)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proceeding</td>
<td>Procedure (n)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proceedings</td>
<td>Procedures (n)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. The AWL was built on top of the General Service List (GSL; West, 1953), a list of 2,000 high frequency word families of English. In other words, any academic words appearing on the GSL were not considered in the AWL (e.g., company, market, account, business, capital, exchange, interest). In contrast, words on the AVL were derived purely by statistics—that is, all words were considered if they appeared statistically more often in academic materials than in other registers of English, and if they had sufficient coverage across nine disciplines of academic English: (1) education; (2) humanities; (3) history; (4) social science; (5) philosophy/religion/psychology; (6) law/political science; (7) science/technology; (8) medicine/health; and (9) business/finance. The result is that the AVL contains academic words at all levels of frequency, making it possible to more effectively address the core academic vocabulary needs of learners at essentially any level of proficiency. To illustrate this point, Table 2 (see page 3) provides examples of AVL lemmas at three different frequency bands. It is clear that AVL lemmas in the first column are much more frequent and basic than those in the second and third columns. Despite the relative differences in frequency and sophistication, all of these lemmas are what I refer to as being “saturated with academic sense.” In other words, these words are likely to occur in many different academic disciplines, thus validating their “core” status.

4. AVL lemmas were subsequently grouped into word families to meet certain learning, teaching, and research purposes. Unlike the AWL, however, AVL families maintain their lemma distinctions within the word families, as the comparison in Table 3 (see page 3) shows. In the AVL case, we can see that only three lemmas are actually on the AVL control (noun control (verb) and uncontrolled (adjective)). The two red lemmas, controller (noun) and controlled (adjective) are specialized (technical) academic words in the disciplines of science and medicine, respectively. The four gray words, while part of the control family from a purely linguistic perspective, are not found statistically more often in academic materials than they are in general English. The numbers next to the words indicate the frequency of the words in the academic corpus, thus giving some indication of the relative importance of the words. Such detail is very useful for teachers, learners, researchers, and materials writers.

Knowing what is common or core in academic materials (the AVL) allows us to be more precise in determining what is specialized in those materials. Table 4 shows how academic vocabulary can be focused on in terms of academic core words (AVL—yellow), discipline core words (from the general core of English—magenta), and discipline technical words (within specific disciplines—red. All of these are derived statistically from the academic corpus. Continued page 3
A New Academic Vocabulary List

Table 2. AVL lemmas at three different frequency bands

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>AVL Lemma</th>
<th>POS</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>AVL Lemma</th>
<th>POS</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>AVL Lemma</th>
<th>POS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>study</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>1501</td>
<td>bridge</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>2996</td>
<td>unidirectional</td>
<td>j</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>group</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>1502</td>
<td>individualism</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>2997</td>
<td>redirection</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>system</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>1503</td>
<td>noteworthy</td>
<td>j</td>
<td>2998</td>
<td>reversion</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>social</td>
<td>j</td>
<td>1504</td>
<td>impetus</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>2999</td>
<td>obtainable</td>
<td>j</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>provide</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>1505</td>
<td>experimentationally</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>privation</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>however</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>1506</td>
<td>sequential</td>
<td>j</td>
<td>3001</td>
<td>inborn</td>
<td>j</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>research</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>1507</td>
<td>continuation</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>3002</td>
<td>bimonthly</td>
<td>r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>level</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>1508</td>
<td>attributable</td>
<td>j</td>
<td>3003</td>
<td>capitalistic</td>
<td>j</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>result</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>1509</td>
<td>disparate</td>
<td>j</td>
<td>3004</td>
<td>circumscribed</td>
<td>j</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>include</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>1510</td>
<td>safeguard</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>3005</td>
<td>targeting</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>important</td>
<td>j</td>
<td>1511</td>
<td>suppression</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>3006</td>
<td>unusable</td>
<td>j</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>process</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>1512</td>
<td>subset</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>3007</td>
<td>unpalatable</td>
<td>j</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>use</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>1513</td>
<td>markedly</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>3008</td>
<td>causally</td>
<td>r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>developmen</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>1514</td>
<td>concurrent</td>
<td>j</td>
<td>3009</td>
<td>prioritization</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>data</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>1515</td>
<td>degrade</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>3010</td>
<td>overemphasis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>information</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>1516</td>
<td>incompatible</td>
<td>j</td>
<td>3011</td>
<td>imprimatur</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>effect</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>1517</td>
<td>tenet</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>3012</td>
<td>coherently</td>
<td>r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>change</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>1518</td>
<td>unify</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>3013</td>
<td>component</td>
<td>j</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>table</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>1519</td>
<td>indispensable</td>
<td>j</td>
<td>3014</td>
<td>tangential</td>
<td>j</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>policy</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>1521</td>
<td>intended</td>
<td>j</td>
<td>3015</td>
<td>relevancy</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(n = noun; v = verb; j = adjective; r = adverb)

Finally, our dynamic web interface contains important information about all words on the AVL, and also allows users to input any text and receive detailed information about the academic core words (AVL) and discipline-specific words (technical) in that text. This tool is particularly well suited for many academic reading and writing purposes.

Link: Table 4. Academic vocabulary levels

This article was originally printed in the October 2015 AL Forum, the Newsletter for the Applied Linguistics Interest Section of TESOL. Thanks to the AL Forum for permission to reprint.
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2. Textproject.org

of the most frequent words in English:
http://textproject.org/assets/library/resources/WordZones_4000-simple-word-families.pdf

The words are broken into “zones” according to frequency with Zone 1 representing the 310 most frequent words; those that might be taught first. For more on the Word Zone Fluency Curriculum, see:
http://textproject.org/archive/research-article-vault/articles/the-word-zone-fluency-curriculum-an-alternative-approach/

She also provides a video on 2,500 complex word families and why vocabulary matters (The role of core vocabulary in complex text) at:
http://textproject.org/archive/webinars/vocabulary-matters/

Teachers will also be interested in other resources listed on this page, such as her article on Generative Vocabulary Instruction and
- concrete picturable words (21% of core vocabulary)
- academic words (18% of core vocabulary)
- morphological word families (When expanded, the 2500 complex word families, accounts for about 12,000 words seen in most texts.)
- polysemous words

Other resources to check out are found on this page:
http://textproject.org/archive/resources/

3. Robert Marzano’s Six Step Process for Teaching Academic Vocabulary
https://www.sheltonschools.org/CAL/TPEP/Shared%20Documents/handouts_vocab_Marzano%20Academic%20Vocabulary.docx
http://www.altonschools.org/media/pdf/Marzano_Vocab.pdf

Various school sites outline his approach to teaching vocabulary, which has been applied to ELLs.

4. Colorin Colorado Resources:
Key articles on the teaching of vocabulary to ELLs from Colorin Colorado, a bilingual site for educators and families of English Language Learners:
http://www.colorincolorado.org/article/vocabulary-development
Links to articles and videos:
http://www.colorincolorado.org/teaching-vocabulary
http://www.colorincolorado.org/article/selecting-vocabulary-words-teach-english-language-learners

5. EverythingESL.net Resources
Tips on providing Vocabulary Instruction to ELLs:
http://www.everythings esl.net/inservices/vocabulary_instruction_language_80932.php

6. Language Magazine Resources
Cutting to the Common Core: Making Vocabulary Instruction Number One, by Kate Kinsella:
http://language magazine.com/?page_id=7706
Cutting to the Common Core: Analyzing Informational Text:
http://language magazine.com/?page_id=12282
Two articles that explain the importance of vocabulary learning to ELLs and give strategies for teaching vocabulary to our learners.

Dr. Nancy Cloud, Professor Emeritus in the Department of Educational Studies at Rhode Island College, is a specialist in ESL, bilingual and dual language education.

Check Out our Resources From Past Conferences Page on the RITELL Website!

Get the Main Speaker and Breakout Session PowerPoints and handouts, as well as other useful links and resources.
Teaching academic vocabulary is essential in assisting students who are non-native speakers of English to succeed in their new learning environment. Hinkel (2015) emphasizes the importance of teaching vocabulary by stating that “Teaching and learning… is simply not possible without academic language and vocabulary” (p. 185). Based upon the research demonstrating the vocabulary range in social discourse and in academic discourse, she claims that academic vocabulary cannot be learned through everyday conversations. Social interactions require only a very limited vocabulary range, roughly 2000 words in social settings as opposed to 20,000 to 85,000 words in academic settings.

Moreover, using the evidence found in the research on vocabulary used in textbooks for English learners, Hinkel (2015) addresses the difficulty students face when they learn academic vocabulary by working with textbooks that contain varied vocabulary words, yet don’t provide learners with opportunities to review and recycle the new words. Academic terms cannot be acquired through natural exposure such as social interactions and reading books. Hinkle (2015) therefore urges ESL instructors to implement vocabulary teaching in every lesson and states that “Vocabulary recycling is left almost exclusively up to the teacher and classroom instruction” (p. 186). Furthermore, she reports that, according to the recent research studies on vocabulary retention, as many as 16 exposures may be needed for vocabulary retention.

There are many ways in which ESL instructors can help students build up their academic vocabulary and aid retention. The following are some practical activities for helping learners expand and retain vocabulary. These activities can be implemented in short periods of time in every lesson. After the target vocabulary words are formally introduced to the learners, the following simple activities can provide students with opportunities to practice the target vocabulary.

### Zip Around

The goal of this activity is to help students review vocabulary and/or concepts learned in class. Zip Around involves all students in the class and promotes practicing listening, speaking, and reading. In order to play this game, the instructor needs to print out cards that are handed out to the students. The cards need to be put in order by linking:

- a term on one card to its definition on the next
- a question in one card to answer on the next
- a picture on one card to a term on the next.

Making your zip around:

- Use a template to set up your terms to link.
- Make enough cards for every student in your class, or divide the class in groups and make enough zip around sets for all the groups.
- Don’t place matching questions and answers on the same card.

### Template for a four card Zip Around set

**Card 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Answer question 4</strong></th>
<th><strong>Question 1</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(the same student who started the game by reading question 1, ends the game by reading the answer to the last question...zip around!)</td>
<td>(student with question 1 starts the game by reading question 1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Card 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Answer question 1</strong></th>
<th><strong>Question 2</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Strategies for Teaching Academic Vocabulary: Research-based Activities for Expanding and Retaining Target Vocabulary Words continued

Card 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer question 2</th>
<th>Question 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Card 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer question 3</th>
<th>Question 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Word Families – Pairing Activity

The goal of this activity is to help students review vocabulary and/or concepts learned in class. In order to get the class ready to work in pairs or small groups, the instructor can hand out cards with the terms to be reviewed. Students form pairs or groups by finding students who have a word that belongs to the same family as the word they have on their cards. Here is an example: Students work in groups of three. The teacher hands out the following individual cards.

Emphasize  Analyze  Emphatic
Analytic    Emphasis  Analysis

Students then find their group mates by matching the cards with terms that belong in the same word family:

Emphasis - Emphasize - Emphatic
Analysis - Analyze – Analytic

The instructor might decide to provide students with further practice using these word families. For instance, students in the same group might be asked to write a short paragraph using the terms matched. Alternatively, students might be asked to pick a term and provide a definition for the word either in oral or written form.

Conclusion

By presenting students with simple activities such as the ones described above, ESL instructors can help students succeed in learning academic vocabulary. The new vocabulary should not only be presented and analyzed in reading texts. Academic vocabulary needs to be practiced and reviewed in writing and speaking and should often be revisited after a lesson/unit is completed. Implementing simple vocabulary activities in every lesson contributes to student vocabulary growth and enables learners to succeed in the academic setting where they need to share their knowledge and thoughts in academically appropriate ways.
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For more than 15 years, the Pawtucket Public Library has offered a comprehensive program of adult ESL classes provided through the Rhode Island Family Literacy Initiative (RIFLI). In January 2013, the collaboration between RIFLI and the library resulted in a new and innovative service: Pawtucket Library became the first library in Rhode Island to offer US Passport services.

The library’s Passport Acceptance Facility opened, offering US citizens the opportunity to apply for their passports during convenient evening hours – times when post offices are closed -- and, additionally, to support the library’s adult ESL classes at no extra cost.

The Pawtucket Library’s passport service is a social enterprise -- part of the regular passport fee, set by the US Department of State, goes directly to support RIFLI classes. According to Julie Fischer, the library’s Passport Manager, “It’s a win/win. Passport customers love our convenient hours, our comfortable office space, and the fact that they don’t usually have to wait in line; and when we tell them that they’re supporting our adult education classes at no extra charge, they’re thrilled.”

Since opening in 2013, the Pawtucket Library Passport Acceptance Facility has grown more and more popular, and expanded its hours. It’s now open Monday through Thursday from 4:00 - 9:00 pm, and Saturdays from 1:00 – 5:00 pm. These hours offer a convenient alternative for individuals and families who may have difficulty applying for a passport during the daytime hours when post offices offer passport acceptance services. Additionally, the Pawtucket Library passport office is a private, comfortable space where the Passport Agent can explain the passport process and offer assistance in filling out the application, if needed. Passport photos are also taken for a fee of $10. The cost of a US passport is set by the government, and is the same wherever one applies. So if you, your family or friends are planning international travel and need a passport, go to Pawtucket Library and take advantage of a great service and an opportunity to support adult ESL classes in Rhode Island! For more information, visit www.pawtucketlibrary.org.
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Expanding on a great idea I got from a colleague about using Quizlet, I would like to get into more detail about the process of having students make their own vocabulary sets on this website, thus helping learners improve their study skills and study habits. I use a four-step process with lots of scaffolding to allow students unfamiliar with the site to eventually use it independently.

Quizlet can be a great tool to help students learn and practice new words. It’s not the only tool I use in class; I also use flash cards and Frayer vocabulary models. (Frayer vocabulary models involve making four boxes for a word, each with a heading such as “definition”, “similar words”, “opposites”, “sentence” to draw out the expanding meaning and context of the word for students.) Most students will take to the great online features of Quizlet, but some more traditional learners prefer more physical and tangible ways of learning, so it’s good to have multiple options students can choose to study from. No matter if it’s a paper-based or electronic tool, it’s important to scaffold the tool to help ABE and ESL students become more independent learners.

Step One: I first like to introduce students to a vocabulary list I’ve made on Quizlet. The list is based on words just encountered in a class reading or video. With a free teacher’s account, I make sets with the word, definition, image, and then, once assigned, students can practice these words (see image below for how making a vocabulary set looks). Once a set of words is made, I can assign the words to my class for them to practice on, whether on their smart phones, tablets or computers. Students can choose from several options to practice: Flashcards, Spelling, Test, Learn (practice fill-ins) and games Scatter and Race.
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class periods, depending on the tech abilities of your class. I do find that students pick up on the features of Quizlet very quickly, no matter what their tech level. More trouble may come from just getting students to sign up and later log on, as some may forget their e-mails or the Quizlet passwords they created. Encouraging them to write down their passwords or helping them choose effective passwords they can easily remember helps.

Step Two: Once I feel students are comfortable with logging in and using the site’s practice functions, the next time we use Quizlet, we can make the study set together. Using the screen again, with a projection of the website for all students to see, I give students directions on how to put the set together. At each step they are following me, typing in the same information I am, putting in the same pictures, and saving the set. Once we’ve made the cards together, they can start to practice on their own, like in step one, and I monitor them, getting feedback on how easy it was for them to make cards. Depending on learners’ tech abilities, we may need to repeat the step of making cards together a few more times.

Step Three: The next time around on Quizlet is similar to step two, but now I elicit the steps from the students: “Ok, tell me what to do now”, “What should we do next?” As they tell me each step, I input the information so it shows on the big screen and they follow on their accounts. Through this approach, I can see how well they remember the steps as we make the cards together. This is a great formative assessment to see how well they understand the steps to make the vocabulary set. It’s not uncommon to see student confidence soar at this point by explaining to me what to do. It’s also a great opportunity for the teacher to remind students of the skills they are acquiring by being able to get on the website and create cards effectively.

Step Four: Once they are able to explain to me how to use Quizlet, it’s time to see if they can make cards independently. So, the next time vocabulary review comes around, I give them the words we’ve covered in class, and they make the cards on their own. I encourage those struggling to get help from fellow students, not just me. If you can see that students can do this step, you know as a teacher you’ve succeeded! If many are really struggling, it’s time to go back to step two or three again for more scaffolded practice.

Once the class seems proficient in creating Quizlet sets on their own, each time a new list of vocabulary items is ready, I give students the option of either making cards, Frayer models, or Quizlet sets. They have to choose one of these options, and are required to show me their finished results. Quizlet is chosen in the majority of cases, but not always. As the year progresses and more vocabulary lists come up, students now have the knowledge and ability to study words independently. With Quizlet, it’s easy for them to go back and practice past word lists, as they have them in their account. Continued page 12
Many students will realize that vocabulary set creation is a transferrable skill that can help them in future classes or other endeavors.

Going through this process of “letting go” and eventually getting students to use Quizlet on their own, it’s easy to see how quickly students develop their study skills and learn the words. Another surprising result from doing this approach has been the helpful feedback from students. For example, the images used with higher level vocabulary items may hinder rather than help students. Some learners reported that in practice, they were just essentially matching the image with the word, but not really learning the definitions for the words. Some students decided having no image was better for them when making their cards. I would never have learned this tidbit if I had just kept making sets on my own and assigning them to students. One last benefit (though I never tell students this) is that this process also eventually saves the teacher time, by getting students to perform all the work of making the vocabulary sets that they can practice on and even share with each other.

Helping students become independent learners by developing their own Quizlet sets is definitely worth it. If you try this method out, let us know how it goes and good luck!

-Reprinted from World Education’s TechTips For Teachers Blog.

Quizlet:
https://quizlet.com/
Upcoming Conferences

Save the Date!
Spring RITELL Conference
April 30, 2016
Watch for details on Conference Theme and speaker at RITELL.org

Check out our expanding resources page at RITELL:
http://www.ritell.org/page-1800810

Resources include Language and Country projects, booklist projects, recommended apps, and a slew of links to other resources. If you have a resource you’d like to share, please let us know!

TESOL 2016, TESOL’s 50th anniversary, will be held in Baltimore, Maryland, Tuesday, 5 April, through Friday, 8 April 2016. – See more at: http://www.tesol.org/attend-and-learn/international-convention#sthash.ashBaTer.dpuf

RITELL: Over 300 members strong! Help us to keep building. Ask a colleague to join! Increasing our membership means being able to afford top notch presenters at conferences, create professional development opportunities/trainings for teachers, advocate for teachers more effectively, and create awards for deserving members of our community. Thanks for your continued support.
RITELL is proud to sponsor two yearly awards.

**Nancy A. Carnevale Grant for teachers of ELLs (Pre K-12)**

Nancy Carnevale, M.Ed., a National Board Certified Teacher of English as New Language (ENL) invested her 38-year career in supporting urban ELLs and their families. She exemplified the “can-do” philosophy. She serves as a model of best practices in urban education for ELLs.

Every Spring Conference, The Nancy A. Carnevale Grant is awarded to classroom teachers who exemplify Nancy’s life and career. The $500 award may only be used to fund a project which meets the grant criteria.

Lisa Salisbury, center, 2015 Carnevale Grant Recipient

See RITELL.org for nomination forms and details. Apply now!

**Adult Education Practitioner Award (Adult/Higher Education)**

Awarded every Fall Conference, the recipient for this award:

- Demonstrates high levels of commitment and makes significant contributions to the field.
- Demonstrates high levels of professionalism, collegiality and cooperation.
- Goes above and beyond to help ELL students and professionals in the field of Adult Education.

See past winners and nomination forms at RITELL.org.
Candid Camera at RITELL: 2015 Fall Conference photos
Candid Camera at RITELL: 2015 Fall Conference Photos
Candid Camera at RITELL: 2015 Fall Conference Photos

Thanks to those who attended our Fall Conference and making it such a success! See you on April 30th for the next one!
Call for Submissions

We are always on the lookout for RI-TELLER submissions from our members. If you have a great lesson plan, resource or activity, please share! Other article ideas include student profiles, research you’ve done relevant to the field and questions, among other ideas. Submitting an article is a great way to get published in the field and an important step to building up our professional connections with one another in RITELL.

Also, if you have a colleague you would like to nominate for the Nancy Carnevale Grant or Adult Education Practitioner awards, please see the Awards page on RITELL.org.

We on the RITELL Coordinating Council wish everyone a happy new year and continued success in 2016! See you at our Spring Conference! Check RITELL.org for upcoming details.